arXiv:hep-th/9603200v1 29 Mar 1996

QMW-PH-96-02

DUALITY INVARIANT MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMICS AND DYONS

Omduth Coceal[a1], Wafic A. Sabra[b2] and Steven Thomas[a3]

[a]*Department of Physics*
Queen Mary and Westfield College
Mile End Road
London E1 4NS
U.K.

[b]*Department of Physics*
Royal Holloway and Bedford New College
Egham
Surrey TW20 0EX
U.K.

ABSTRACT

The theory of magnetohydrodynamics is extended to the cases of a plasma of separate magnetic and electric charges, as well as to a plasma of dyons respectively. In both these cases the system possesses electric-magnetic duality symmetry. In the former case we find that because of the existence of two independent generalized Ohm's law equations, the limit of infinite electric and magnetic conductivity results in the vanishing of both electric and magnetic fields, as well as the corresponding currents. In the dyonic case, we find that the resulting duality-invariant system of equations are equivalent to those of ordinary MHD, after suitable field redefinitions.

---

[1] e-mail: o.coceal@qmw.ac.uk

[2] e-mail: uhap012@vax.rhbnc.ac.uk

[3] e-mail: s.thomas@qmw.ac.uk

Recently Polyakov [1] presented a new radical approach to the study of two dimensional (2d) turbulent fluids by proposing that certain conformal field theories (CFT) might offer a description of fully developed turbulence. Ferretti and Yang [2] applied these ideas to the effective field theory approximating 2d plasmas- *i.e.*, 2d magnetohydrodynamics (MHD). Recently we presented some new results [3] which extended the work of [2]. Strictly speaking, the theories studied in [2,3] were 3d MHD dimensionally reduced to 2d-*i.e.* all fields are taken to be independent of the third coordinate. In this way a generalized 2d MHD is obtained in which there are passive scalars as well as the usual velocity, electric and magnetic fields. Pure 2d MHD can be obtained by consistently setting to zero the passive scalars.

In this letter, motivated by a desire to obtain even more generalized MHD equations in 2d, with a view to applying CFT techniques in looking for possible solutions in the turbulent regime [4], we shall construct the analogue of the MHD equations of plasmas that incorporate magnetic charges. Of course such theories like ordinary MHD, should find much broader physical applications.[4] As we shall see there are at least three ways of accomplishing this. One could consider a purely magnetic plasma, consisting of oppositely charged magnetic monopoles. This is in fact the direct analogue of the usual plasma of electric charges (see e.g. [5]). Secondly we can consider a plasma where both types of charges are present- a kind of interacting pair of electric and magnetic plasmas. Finally, we may consider plasmas involving particles that carry both electric and magnetic charges- i.e. dyons. We shall see that all these possibilities can display MHD-like equations having different physical properties. Moreover all these possibilities are deeply connected with the idea of electric-magnetic duality.

First we consider the situation where we have a plasma consisting of magnetic monopoles and their ionic counterparts - "magneto-ions". Following the well known methods (see for example [6]) that yield ordinary MHD in 3 dimensions, by deriving 1-fluid equations from the 2-fluid description, we shall obtain analogous "dual" MHD equations (referred to perhaps more appropriately as electrohydrodynamics in [5]). We begin with Maxwell's equations including both electric and magnetic currents $\mathbf{J}_e, \mathbf{J}_m$

---

[4] For example, there has been interesting recent work concerning the existence of electric string solutions in the MHD of magnetic monopole plasmas [5]

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{E} &= \rho_c, & \nabla\cdot\mathbf{B} &= \rho_m,\\ \nabla\times\mathbf{B} &= \frac{4\pi}{c}\mathbf{J}_e+\frac{1}{c}\partial_t\mathbf{E}, & \nabla\times\mathbf{E} &= -\frac{4\pi}{c}\mathbf{J}_m-\frac{1}{c}\partial_t\mathbf{B}\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

which are well known to be invariant under the electric-magnetic duality transformations (see for example [7]):

$$\mathbf{E}\to\mathbf{B},\quad \mathbf{B}\to-\mathbf{E}\quad \mathbf{J}_e\to\mathbf{J}_m,\quad \mathbf{J}_m\to-\mathbf{J}_e\quad \rho_c\to\rho_m,\quad \rho_m\to-\rho_c \tag{2}$$

where ρ_m and ρ_e are the corresponding magnetic and electric charge densities respectively.

We now show how we can implement and extend this symmetry to the full set of MHD equations. The two-fluid equations for our monopole plasma analogous to ordinary electric plasmas are:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\tilde{n}_s(\mathbf{x},t)+\nabla\cdot(\tilde{n}_s\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_s) &= 0,\\ \tilde{m}_s\tilde{n}_s(\partial_t\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_s+\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_s\cdot\nabla\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_s) &= -\nabla\tilde{P}_s+g_s\tilde{n}_s(\mathbf{B}-\frac{1}{c}\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_s\times\mathbf{E})+\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_s(\mathbf{x}),\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where the quantities $\tilde{m}_s, g_s, \tilde{P}_s$ and $\tilde{n}_s$ are respectively the mass, magnetic charge, pressure and number density of the individual species s, where $s = g$ or i corresponding to the monopoles or magnetic ions, $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_s$ is the corresponding velocity field and $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_s$ represents the change in momentum of species s at position $\mathbf{x}$ due to collisions. Usual scattering arguments [6] lead us to take $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_i = -\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_g$. Note that $g_g = -g_i = g$ is the magnetic charge of the monopole. The force equation is the dual of the standard one, using (2).

In order to sum up over species, the following quantities are defined,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\rho}_M(\mathbf{x}) &\equiv \tilde{m}_g\tilde{n}_g(\mathbf{x})+\tilde{m}_i\tilde{n}_i(\mathbf{x}), & \rho_m(\mathbf{x}) &\equiv -g(\tilde{n}_g-\tilde{n}_i),\\ \tilde{\mathbf{v}} &\equiv \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}_M}(\tilde{m}_g\tilde{n}_g\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_g+\tilde{m}_i\tilde{n}_i\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_i), & \mathbf{J}_m &\equiv g(\tilde{n}_i\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_i-\tilde{n}_g\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_g),\\ \tilde{P} &\equiv \tilde{P}_g+\tilde{P}_i.\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

If we assume that $\tilde{m}_g \ll \tilde{m}_i$, $\tilde{n}_g \approx \tilde{n}_i$, we are then led to the following one-fluid equations,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t\tilde{\rho}_M+\nabla\cdot(\tilde{\rho}_M\tilde{\mathbf{v}}) &= 0, \qquad \partial_t\rho_m+\nabla\cdot\mathbf{J}_m=0,\\ \tilde{\rho}_M(\partial_t\tilde{\mathbf{v}}+\tilde{\mathbf{v}}\cdot\nabla\tilde{\mathbf{v}}) &= -\nabla\tilde{P}+\rho_m\mathbf{B}-\frac{1}{c}\mathbf{J}_m\times\mathbf{E},\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\tilde{m}_g \tilde{m}_i}{\rho_M g^2}\partial_t \mathbf{J}_m \;=\; \frac{\tilde{m}_i}{2\rho_M g}\nabla \tilde{P} + (\mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c}\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \times \mathbf{E}) + \frac{\tilde{m}_i}{\rho_M g c}\mathbf{J}_m \times \mathbf{E} - \frac{\mathbf{J}_m}{\sigma_m} \qquad (5)$$

coupled to the duality invariant Maxwell's equations of (1) with $\rho_c = \mathbf{J}_e = 0$. The last equation in (5) is the magnetic analogue of Ohm's law, with magnetic conductivity σ_m.

Finally we note that these "electrohydrodynamic" equations [5] are related to the usual MHD equations through the duality transformations (2), together with the transformations $e \longrightarrow g$, $g \longrightarrow -e$, $m_e \leftrightarrow \tilde{m}_g$, $m_i \leftrightarrow \tilde{m}_i$, $\sigma_e \leftrightarrow \sigma_m$, $\rho_M \leftrightarrow \tilde{\rho}_M$ and $\mathbf{v} \leftrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{v}}$.

We now turn to the magnetohydrodynamic description of a mixed plasma consisting of electrons, ions, magnetic monopoles and their corresponding magnetic "ions". For such a system the relevant equations have to be amended to take into account the presence of the different species. Maxwell's equations, for example, have to be generalized to include the effects of both electric and magnetic charges and currents in a duality-invariant way, hence the self-dual equations (1) are relevant.

In this case we also have two sets of two-fluid conservation and force equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t n_s + \nabla \cdot (n_s \mathbf{v}_s) &= 0, \quad s = e, i, \\
\partial_t \tilde{n}_s + \nabla \cdot (\tilde{n}_s \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_s) &= 0, \quad s = g, i, \\
m_s n_s (\partial_t \mathbf{v}_s + \mathbf{v}_s \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_s) &= -\nabla P_s + q_s n_s (\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v}_s \times \mathbf{B}) + \mathbf{K}_s, \\
\tilde{m}_s \tilde{n}_s (\partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_s + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_s \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_s) &= -\nabla \tilde{P}_s + g_s \tilde{n}_s (\mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c}\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_s \times \mathbf{E}) + \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_s, \qquad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

where $q_e = -e, q_i = e$ and $g_g = -g, g_i = g$, $\mathbf{v}_s, n_s$ and P_s are the electric analogues of the quantities defined earlier.

After summing over species, the following one-fluid conservation equations are obtained:

$$\partial_t \hat{\rho}_M + \nabla \cdot (\hat{\rho}_M \hat{\mathbf{v}}) = 0, \quad \partial_t \rho_c + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_e = 0, \quad \partial_t \rho_m + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_m = 0 \qquad (7)$$

where the quantities $\hat{\rho}_M, \hat{\mathbf{v}}, \rho_c$ and ρ_m are again just the generalizations of similar quantities in (4) to the 4-species case. The generalized Navier-Stokes equation is:

$$\hat{\rho}_M[\partial_t \hat{\mathbf{v}} + (\hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla)\hat{\mathbf{v}}] = -\nabla \hat{P} + \rho_c \mathbf{E} + \rho_m \mathbf{B} + \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{J}_e \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{J}_m \times \mathbf{E} + \sum_s (\mathbf{K}_s + \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_s). \qquad (8)$$

$\hat{P}$ being the total pressure and $\mathbf{K}_s$ the collision term that changes the momentum of electric charges. Evidently (8) is invariant under electric-magnetic duality.

Previously we considered a plasma of purely magnetic charges, (in analogy with pure electric) where standard arguments led to the vanishing of $\sum_s \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_s$ (or $\sum_s \mathbf{K}_s$)

respectively. However, in the present situation where both types of charges are present, we have to be a little more cautious as there could, in principle, be interactions between electric and magnetic charges that can influence the sum $\sum_s \mathbf{K}_s + \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_s$. To understand this problem further, it is useful to consider 2-dimensions, and recall some well known properties [8] of Coulomb gases consisting of both electric and magnetic charges. What is evident from [8] is that magnetic and electric charges couple only through Bohm-Ahranov [9] type interactions of the form $\sum_{i\neq j}^{N} e_i \Phi(z_i - y_j) g_j$. Here we consider N electric and magnetic charges e_i, g_j, located at the points z_i, y_j of the complex plane, and $\Phi(z) = Arg(z)$. This topological kind of interaction is also present in 3 dimensions [10]. It is clear that whether in 2 or 3 dimensions, $\mathbf{K}_s(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_s)$ are predominantly influenced by the effective Coulomb forces which act between charges of the same type be they electric or magnetic. Irrespective of the particular nature of such interactions, what is important is that in our system the effective forces of collision will occur between charges of the same type. This leads to a picture of two plasmas, one with electric the other with magnetic charge carriers whose interaction is weak compared to their self interaction. Following arguments similar to those discussed earlier, we then expect that collisions between electrons and their corresponding ions will give $\sum_s \mathbf{K}_s = 0$ and similarly for the magnetic charge carriers, $\sum_s \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_s = 0$. Consequently, these terms drop out of the 1-fluid force equation (8) above.

In principle we can now construct two separate Ohm's law equations corresponding to $\mathbf{J}_e$ and $\mathbf{J}_m$ respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \mathbf{J}_e &= -\frac{e}{m_i}\nabla P_i + \frac{e}{m_e}\nabla P_e + e^2(\frac{n_e}{m_e} + \frac{n_i}{m_i})\mathbf{E} + \frac{e^2 n_e}{m_e c}\mathbf{v}_e \times \mathbf{B} + \frac{e^2 n_i}{m_i c}\mathbf{v}_i \times \mathbf{B} \\
&\quad + \sum_s \frac{q_s}{m_s}\mathbf{K}_s, \\
\partial_t \mathbf{J}_m &= -\frac{g}{\tilde{m}_i}\nabla \tilde{P}_i + \frac{g}{\tilde{m}_g}\nabla \tilde{P}_g + g^2(\frac{\tilde{n}_g}{\tilde{m}_g} + \frac{\tilde{n}_i}{\tilde{m}_i})\mathbf{B} - \frac{g^2 \tilde{n}_g}{\tilde{m}_g c}\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_g \times \mathbf{E} - \frac{g^2 \tilde{n}_i}{\tilde{m}_i c}\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_i \times \mathbf{E} \\
&\quad + \sum_s \frac{g_s}{\tilde{m}_s}\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_s. \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

However to proceed further, we need to make a number of approximations and simplifying assumptions to obtain Ohm's laws that are written in terms of 1-fluid quantities only. The only new assumptions we need make in addition to those already used up to this point (and suitably generalized to the present case), are that $|\mathbf{v}_e| \gg |\mathbf{v}_i|$ and $|\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_g| \gg |\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_i|$, which is natural for a plasma of such charges in thermal equilibrium when we recall that $m_e \ll m_i$ and $\tilde{m}_g \ll \tilde{m}_i$. After some calculation we arrive at the 1-fluid formulation for the generalized Ohm's laws

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\frac{m_e m_i}{\hat{\rho}_M e^2}\partial_t \mathbf{J}_e & = & \frac{m_i}{4\hat{\rho}_M e}\nabla\hat{P} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c}\hat{\mathbf{v}}\times\mathbf{B} - \frac{m_i}{\hat{\rho}_M ec}\mathbf{J}_e\times\mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{2\sigma_e}\mathbf{J}_e \\ \frac{\tilde{m}_g\tilde{m}_i}{\hat{\rho}_M g^2}\partial_t \mathbf{J}_m & = & \frac{\tilde{m}_i}{4\hat{\rho}_M g}\nabla\hat{P} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c}\hat{\mathbf{v}}\times\mathbf{E} + \frac{\tilde{m}_i}{\hat{\rho}_M gc}\mathbf{J}_m\times\mathbf{E} - \frac{1}{2\sigma_m}\mathbf{J}_m\end{array} \quad (10)$$

(1), (8) and (10) constitute the equations of duality invariant MHD of a plasma of e and g charges. Before moving on to consider an alternative magnetic -electric plasma description where the two types of charges are localized at a point, thus defining a dyon, we shall investigate the ideal limit of the duality invariant MHD equations (1), (8) and (10). Studying infinitely conducting (i.e. $\sigma_e \to \infty$) ordinary (electric) plasmas, apart from being a useful simplifying limit, has proved useful in exhibiting many interesting properties of these systems [6]. Furthermore, when one considers the 2-dimensional case, the direct relevance of conformal field theory in describing certain properties of the turbulent phase is clearer in this limit [2,3], although some recent work has also considered finite conductivity [3] in this context.

To obtain the equations of ideal MHD, we shall adopt the usual approximations [6] of low temperature, so the pressure term $\nabla\hat{P}$ can be neglected, and low frequency, so all fields with time derivatives can be dropped compared to those without. In particular if we apply these approximations to the generalized Ohm's law equations (10), and further assuming that one may neglect the $\mathbf{J}_e\times\mathbf{B}$ term compared to $\hat{\mathbf{v}}\times\mathbf{B}$ [6], as well as the magnetic analogue of this (which is neglecting $\mathbf{J}_m\times\mathbf{E}$ with respect to $\hat{\mathbf{v}}\times\mathbf{E}$), we obtain

$$\mathbf{J}_e = \sigma_e(\mathbf{E} + \frac{2}{c}\hat{\mathbf{v}}\times\mathbf{B}), \qquad \mathbf{J}_m = \sigma_m(\mathbf{B} - \frac{2}{c}\hat{\mathbf{v}}\times\mathbf{E}). \quad (11)$$

We see that the above approximations still imply that both equations in (11) are related under the duality transformations of eqs (2). Now in the limits $\sigma_e \to \infty$ and $\sigma_m \to \infty$ the condition for there to exist both finite magnetic and electric currents requires

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{2}{c}\hat{\mathbf{v}}\times\mathbf{B}, \qquad \mathbf{B} = \frac{2}{c}\hat{\mathbf{v}}\times\mathbf{E}. \quad (12)$$

Again equivalence of these equations under duality is obvious. Equations (1), (8) and (12) constitute the ideal, duality invariant equations MHD for a plasma of separate electric and magnetic charges. Note that for ordinary electric plasmas in this limit, since there is a single Ohm's law [6] only the first equation in (12) is applicable. Having said that, the physical consequences of having two generalized Ohm's law eqns. (10) instead of one are dramatic. This is because it is easy to show that (12) constitute an overdetermined set of equations for $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$, so that their only solution is $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{B} = 0$. In the case of a single Ohm's law, e.g. the case of a purely electric plasma, the first of eqns (12) would simply determine $\mathbf{E}$ in terms of the velocity and magnetic fields. Ultimately we can understand the origin of two Ohm's laws in our mixed plasma as a consequence of both electric and magnetic charges coupling to the *same* $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ fields. Since the latter are obviously coupled via Maxwell's eqns, these two plasmas are never really decoupled.

The duality invariant Maxwell equations with vanishing $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ fields require that the corresponding currents $\mathbf{J}_m$ and $\mathbf{J}_e$ vanish. One is tempted to interpret this as confinement of both electric and magnetic charge in the plasma, which is reflected in the fact that the duality invariant MHD equations simply reduce to those of an incompressible, inviscid fluid when one has vanishing $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ fields. However, such a conclusion should be treated with caution. In deriving the 1-fluid equations describing generalized Ohm's laws for both $\mathbf{J}_e$ and $\mathbf{J}_m$, we used the approximation that $\mathbf{v}_i \ll \mathbf{v}_e$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_i \ll \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_e$, which as we noted was quite natural given the hierarchical values of the masses $m_e, m_i, \tilde{m}_g$, and $\tilde{m}_i$ as long as the system is completely in the *plasma* state *i.e.*, we have a fluid of separate charges $\pm e, \pm g$. Thus as the system approaches confinement of both kinds of charge, the 1-fluid formalism breaks down. This is perhaps not surprising since information about the plasma has been lost not only in moving from the 4-fluid (recall we now have 4 species of charge carriers) to the 1-fluid description, but equally in choosing the low frequency, long wavelength approximation. Certainly one might expect short distance effects to be important as electron-ion and magnetic monopole-magneto ions recombine.

In the final part of this letter we shall consider a dyonic plasma, *i.e.* one in which there are *two* species of dyons with opposite electric and magnetic charges. Recall that dyons (see for example the review [7]) are particles that carry both electric and magnetic charge. The first dyon species is the analogue of electrons and the second type ("dyonic ions") is analogous to ions. Let m_d, m_{di} be the corresponding masses with $m_d \ll m_{di}$. Their respective charges are defined as follows: $q_s = -e$ if $s = d$ and

$q_s = +e$ if $s = di$. Similarly, $g_s = -g$ if $s = d$ and $g_s = +g$ if $s = di$.

The plasma equations for species s will be:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t n_s(\mathbf{x},t) + \nabla\cdot(n_s\mathbf{v}_s) &= 0 \\
m_s n_s(\partial_t\mathbf{v}_s + \mathbf{v}_s\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}_s) &= -\nabla P_s + q_s n_s(\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v}_s\times\mathbf{B}), \\
&\quad + g_s n_s(\mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v}_s\times\mathbf{E}) + \mathbf{K}_s,
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

along with the dualized Maxwell equations (1).

For the dyonic fluid, the electric and magnetic currents are defined as

$$\mathbf{J}_e \equiv \sum_s q_s n_s \mathbf{v}_s = -e(n_d\mathbf{v}_d - n_{di}\mathbf{v}_{di}), \quad \mathbf{J}_m \equiv \sum_s g_s n_s \mathbf{v}_s = -g(n_d\mathbf{v}_d - n_{di}\mathbf{v}_{di}). \tag{14}$$

Hence, for the one-fluid dyonic plasma, $\mathbf{J}_e$ and $\mathbf{J}_m$ are related by

$$\mathbf{J}_e = \frac{e}{g}\mathbf{J}_m. \tag{15}$$

This relation is crucial and has important implications. Usual manipulations yield the conservation equations:

$$\partial_t\rho'_M + \nabla\cdot(\rho'_M\mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad \partial_t\rho_c + \nabla\cdot\mathbf{J}_e = 0, \quad \partial_t\rho_m + \nabla\cdot\mathbf{J}_m = 0, \tag{16}$$

with $\rho_{M'}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ the usual 1-fluid quantities, and similar to the connection between electric and magnetic currents, one may show that $\rho_c = \frac{e}{g}\rho_m$. The one-fluid force equation for a dyonic plasma is

$$\rho'_M(\partial_t\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}) = -\nabla P' + \rho_c\mathbf{E} + \rho_m\mathbf{B} + \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{J}_e\times\mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{J}_m\times\mathbf{E}, \tag{17}$$

P' being the total pressure. This defines the duality-invariant force equations for a dyonic fluid.

Since the electric and magnetic currents are related for dyons, this means that the dyonic generalized Ohm's law must be self dual. Making standard approximations we are led to the following form of the Ohm's law for the dyonic plasma

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{m_d m_{di}}{\rho'_M e^2}\frac{\partial\mathbf{J}_e}{\partial t} &= \frac{m_d}{2\rho'_M e}\nabla P' + (\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}) + \frac{g}{e}(\mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{E}) \\
&\quad - \frac{m_d}{\rho'_M ec}\mathbf{J}_e\times\mathbf{B} + \frac{m_d}{\rho'_M ec}\mathbf{J}_m\times\mathbf{E} - \frac{1}{\sigma_e}\mathbf{J}_e,
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where the electric conductivity σ_e appears through the Taylor expansion of $\mathbf{K}_{di}$ in terms of the relative velocities $(\mathbf{v}_d - \mathbf{v}_{di})$

$$\mathbf{K}_{di} \approx C\mathbf{J}_e = -\frac{\rho'_M e}{m_{di}\sigma_e}\mathbf{J}_e. \tag{19}$$

One could equally express $\mathbf{K}_{di}$ in terms of $\mathbf{J}_m$ and a magnetic conductivity σ_m, which by virtue of (15) is given as $\sigma_m = \frac{g^2}{e^2}\sigma_e$.

This completes our derivation of the dyonic one-fluid equations. They can be expressed in a manifestly duality-invariant way by a suitable redefinition of the fields. Define duality-invariant electric and magnetic fields

$$\mathcal{E} = e\mathbf{E} + g\mathbf{B}, \qquad \mathcal{B} = g\mathbf{E} - e\mathbf{B}, \tag{20}$$

together with the duality-invariant quantities $\bar{\mathbf{J}}_e = \frac{\mathbf{J}_e}{e}, \bar{\rho}_c = \frac{\rho_c}{e}$ and $\bar{\sigma}_e = \frac{\sigma_e}{e^2}$, then in terms of these fields, the equations of dyonic MHD can be written as (dropping the $'$ on P and ρ_M):

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t\rho_M + \nabla\cdot\mathbf{v} &= 0, \qquad \partial_t\bar{\rho}_c + \nabla\cdot\bar{\mathbf{J}}_e = 0,\\
\rho_M(\frac{\partial\mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}) &= -\nabla P + \bar{\rho}_c\mathcal{E} - \frac{1}{c}\bar{\mathbf{J}}_e\times\mathcal{B},\\
\frac{m_d m_{di}}{\rho_M}\frac{\partial\bar{\mathbf{J}}_e}{\partial t} &= \frac{m_d}{2\rho_M}\nabla P + \mathcal{E} - \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v}\times\mathcal{B} + \frac{m_d}{\rho_M c}\bar{\mathbf{J}}_e\times\mathcal{B} - \frac{1}{\bar{\sigma}_e}\bar{\mathbf{J}}_e,\\
\nabla\cdot\mathcal{B} &= 0, \qquad \nabla\cdot\mathcal{E} = \bar{\rho}_c(e^2+g^2),\\
\nabla\times\mathcal{E} &= \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial\mathcal{B}}{\partial t}, \qquad \nabla\times\mathcal{B} = -\frac{4\pi}{c}(e^2+g^2)\bar{\mathbf{J}}_e - \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial\mathcal{E}}{\partial t}.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

We note that, up to factors of (e^2+g^2), these are exactly the equations of ordinary MHD with suitable identifications.

In view of the exact analogy with ordinary MHD, we follow the standard analysis in going over to the ideal limit. The important additional ingredient here is that all approximations must preserve duality, and as we shall discuss below there is more than one way of doing so. In the limit of low temperature and low frequency Ohm's law reduces to

$$\bar{\mathbf{J}}_e = \bar{\sigma}_e(\mathcal{E} - \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v}\times\mathcal{B}), \tag{22}$$

so that as $\bar{\sigma}_e \to \infty$, the requirement that $\bar{\mathbf{J}}_e$ remains finite yields

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{c}\mathbf{v}\times\mathcal{B}. \tag{23}$$

This relation can be used to eliminate $\mathcal{E}$ from the equations. Now we have to consider how to implement the low frequency limit in the last two equations of the dualized Maxwell equations (1). From these equations it might seem reasonable to drop both $\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$ and $\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$ in comparison to the currents $\mathbf{J}_e$ and $\mathbf{J}_m$ respectively. However whilst this certainly preserves duality, it leads to a constrained effective ideal MHD in which $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are forced to vanish. This is a consequence of the fact that these fields arise purely from the currents $\mathbf{J}_e$ and $\mathbf{J}_m$, and since the latter are proportional then $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are parallel. This condition is incompatible with (23) unless $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{B} = 0$.

There is an alternative approach however. Clearly we can apply the usual low frequency approximation to the MHD equations, *i.e.*, we can neglect the $\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial t}$ term in Maxwell's equation for $\nabla \times \mathcal{B}$, and keep the term $\frac{\partial \mathcal{B}}{\partial t}$ in the equation for $\nabla \times \mathcal{E}$. This also manifestly preserves duality. However unlike the case of usual MHD, in terms of the physical fields $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ such an approximation does not mean that the t variation are negligible compared to the currents, indeed they are comparable which is why they are not neglected in (1). Nevertheless the *combined* t variation of these fields in $\mathcal{E}$ given in (20) is assumed negligible compared to the currents, so a kind of cancellation must occur. Finally, the infinite conductivity limit $\bar{\sigma}_e \to \infty$ means that $\bar{\rho}_c \to 0$.

Implementing these approximations and after finally taking the curl of the force equation to remove the ∇P term, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} &= 0, \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathcal{B} = 0 \\ \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathcal{B}) &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}}{\partial t}, \qquad \nabla \times (\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{4\pi \rho_M (e^2 + g^2)} \times (\mathcal{B} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{B}) \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Defining $\bar{\mathcal{B}} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^2+g^2}} \mathcal{B}$ the above ideal dyonic MHD equations are exactly those of ordinary ideal MHD with the identifications $\mathbf{E} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and $\mathbf{B} \leftrightarrow \bar{\mathcal{B}}$, or equivalently to those of dual MHD with $\mathbf{E} \leftrightarrow -\bar{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathbf{B} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{E}$.

This is an interesting and unexpected result, and it is perhaps worthwhile to try and understand it further, particularly when we compare this to the situation in the previous section. It seems that by forcing the electric and magnetic charges to be localized simultaneously at a point (*i.e.* the case of dyons), we avoid the "over constrained" system of equations arising from the Ohm's laws (12), which led to the vanishing of $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$. Moreover we can try to understand the dyonic case by considering the conserved generalized kinetic energy E_k of the ideal plasma in the light of duality symmetry. This is given by

$$E_k = \int d^3x \{ \frac{\rho_M}{2} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \frac{\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{B}}{4\pi(e^2 + g^2)} \}. \qquad (25)$$

which is easily seen to be duality invariant. Moreover this expression for E_k goes over to the energy we would expect in a plasma of purely electric or magnetic charges as we send either $g \rightarrow 0$ or $e \rightarrow 0$ respectively. Now if we again believe that duality symmetry in our system is independent of the values of the fluid velocity $\mathbf{v}$ then consider going to a frame which is comoving with respect to the fluid , *i.e.*, the limit $\mathbf{v} \rightarrow 0$. Now, crucially it is the duality invariant field $\mathcal{E}$ that vanishes according to (23) not the physical electric field itself, which was the case for the plasma of separate e and g charges considered earlier. If either $\mathbf{E}$ or $\mathbf{B}$ vanishes, then unbroken duality symmetry implies they both vanish. In fact $\mathcal{E} = 0$ implies that $\mathbf{E} = -\frac{g}{e}\mathbf{B}$, *i.e.*, the electric and magnetic fields are anti-parallel (in our conventions g and e have the same signs), so that both $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are non-vanishing in this limit, and consequently contribute to the energy E_k in a duality invariant way.

To summarize, we have considered the generalizations of the theory of MHD to both the cases of a plasma of separate magnetic and electric charges and a dyonic plasma. In the limit of infinite electric and magnetic conductivities, it was found that only in the case of dyonic plasmas can one have non-vanishing magnetic and electric fields. By considering all fields to be independent of one of the coordinates, one can obtain an effectively 2d theory of duality invariant MHD which also includes passive scalars. The application of CFT techniques to the study of turbulence in these models, which extends the investigations in [2,3], is considered in a separate publication [4]. Finally, the ideas of [5] in which a string (magnetic and electric) was proposed as a solution to the equations of MHD (and its dual) can be generalized to the duality invariant case corresponding to a dyonic plasma. This will be reported on in a forthcoming paper.

Acknowledgements

The work of S.Thomas was supported by the Royal Society of Great Britain and W. A. Sabra by PPARC.

References

[1] A .M. Polyakov *Nucl. Phys.* **B396** (1993) 367.

[2] G. Ferretti and Z. Yang, *Europhys. Lett.* **22** (1993) 639.

[3] O. Coceal and S. Thomas, *Conformal Models of Magnetohydrodynamic Turbulence*, preprint QMW-PH-95-45, hep-th/9512022.

[4] O. Coceal, W. A. Sabra and S.Thomas, *Conformal solutions of duality invariant 2d Magnetohydrodynamic Turbulence*, QMW-PH-96-05.

[5] P. Olesen, *Dual strings and Magnetohydrodynamics*, NBI-HE-95-31,hep-th9509023.

[6] D. R. Nicholson, *Introduction to Plasma Theory*, Wiley (New York) 1983.

[7] P. Goddard and D. I. Olive, *Magnetic monopoles in gauge field theories*, Rep. Prog. Phys. **41** (1978) 1357.

[8] B. Nienhuis, *J. Stat. Phys.* **34** (1984) 731.

[9] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, *Phys. Rev.***115**, 484 (1959).

[10] J. L. Cardy and E. Rabinovici, *Nucl. Phys.* **B205** (1981) 1.